

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/630,356

Applicant(s)

YAMADA, MASAYUKI

Examiner

Daniel A. Nolan

Art Unit

2654

## All Participants:

(1) Daniel A. Nolan.

(2) Chris L. Holm.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 13 May 2004

Time: 1:30 PM

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3, 15, 50 & 51

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

4/19/04 - initial call to Applicant, leaving message that Claim Language needs to be added for clarity, and broad language of claims modified to exclude obvious comparisons from new entries to those existing in the database, i.e., duplication.

5/13/04 - Applicant returned call, Applicant was apprised of the problem and invited to fax alternative wording to Examiner's desktop for Examiner's Amendment.

F